

LANCE BEAR §
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:16cv4
PEACHES ROSS, ET AL. §

The Plaintiff Lance Bear, a former inmate of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Bear was ordered to pay an initial partial filing fee of \$16.17, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(b). When he failed to do so, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. Because the incidents giving rise to the lawsuit began in January of 2014, the Magistrate Judge also recommended that the statute of limitations be suspended for a period of ninety days following the date of entry of final judgment.

1

Court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law"). It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 8) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. It is further

ORDERED that the statute of limitations on the claims raised in this lawsuit is **SUSPENDED** for a period of ninety days following the date of entry of final judgment in this case. This suspension of the limitation period affects only those claims which were not barred by limitations as of the date the original complaint was signed, on December 29, 2015. Finally, it is

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **6** day of **March, 2017**.



Ron Clark, United States District Judge